

ILLUSTRATING AN ERROR IN “AN EQUIVALENT CONDITION FOR A UNIFORM SPACE TO BE COVERABLE”

B. LABUZ

ABSTRACT. Berestovskii and Plaut introduced the concept of a coverable space [1] when developing their theory of generalized universal covering maps for uniform spaces. If a space is coverable and chain connected then it has a generalized universal covering map. Brodskiy, Dydak, LaBuz, and Mitra introduced the concept of a uniformly joinable space [2] when developing a theory of generalized uniform covering maps. It is easy to see that a chain connected coverable space is uniformly joinable. This paper discusses the attempt in [5] to prove that a uniformly joinable chain connected space is coverable.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Uniform openness and the error in [5]	2
References	5

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a uniform space X and an entourage E of X , an E -chain in X is a sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ such that $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E$ for each $i < n$. We are interested in defining equivalence classes of E -chains. To this end, consider the Rips complex $R(X, E)$.

Definition 1.1. *Given an entourage E of X the Rips complex $R(X, E)$ is the subcomplex of the full complex over X whose simplices are finite E -bounded subsets of X .*

Note a subset A is called E -bounded if for each $x, y \in A$, $(x, y) \in E$. Equivalently, $A \times A \subset E$.

Any E -chain $x_1, \dots, x_n$ determines a path in $R(X, E)$ by simply joining successive terms x_i, x_{i+1} by an edge path, i.e., a path along the edge joining x_i and x_{i+1} . Denote the edge path between points x and y by $e(x, y)$ (since only homotopy classes of paths will be considered any two such paths will be equivalent). Then homotopies between E -chains can be defined in terms of homotopies between paths in $R(X, E)$. Since the identity function $K_w \rightarrow K_m$, K a simplicial complex, from K equipped with the CW (weak) topology to K equipped with the metric topology is a homotopy equivalence (see [4, page 302]), it does not matter which topology is chosen for $R(X, E)$. Two E -chains starting at the same point x and

Date: July 20, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55Q52; Secondary 55M10, 54E15.

ending at the same point y are said to be **E -homotopic relative endpoints** if the corresponding paths in $R(X, E)$ are homotopic relative endpoints.

Following Berestovskii and Plaut, given a point $x_0 \in X$ and an entourage E of X , denote the set of homotopy classes of E -chains in X starting at x_0 as (X_E, x_0) . Consider the following uniform structure on (X_E, x_0) , which is the one used by Berestovskii and Plaut. For each entourage $F \subset E$, let $\hat{F}$ be the set of all pairs (c, d) , $c, d \in (X_E, x_0)$, such that $c^{-1}d$ is E -homotopic relative endpoints to the edge path between the endpoints of c and d and those endpoints are F -close. Then $\{\hat{F} : F \subset E\}$ is a basis for a uniform structure on (X_E, x_0) .

For entourages $F \subset E$, an F -chain is also an E -chain and if two F -chains are F -homotopic relative endpoints then they are also E -homotopic relative endpoints. Therefore there is a map $\phi_{FE} : (X_F, x_0) \rightarrow (X_E, x_0)$ that sends an equivalence class $[c_F]_F$ in (X_F, x_0) to the equivalence class $[c_F]_E$ in (X_E, x_0) . The corresponding inverse limit $\varprojlim (X_E, x_0)$ was considered by Berestovskii and Plaut [1]. An equivalent description of elements of this space is given in [2]. A **generalized path** is a collection of homotopy classes of chains $\alpha = \{[c_E]\}_E$ where E runs over all entourages of X and for any $F \subset E$, c_F is E -homotopic relative endpoints to c_E . We write $\alpha_E = [c_E]_E$. Inverses and concatenations of generalized paths are defined in the obvious way. The set of generalized paths in X starting at x_0 is denoted as $GP(X, x_0)$ and given a uniform structure generated by basic elements defined as follows. For each entourage E of X let E^* be the set of all pairs (α, β) , $\alpha, \beta \in GP(X, x_0)$, such that $(\alpha_E, \beta_E) \in \hat{E}$. Then $GP(X, x_0)$ is uniformly equivalent to $\varprojlim (X_E, x_0)$. Berestovskii and Plaut denoted this space as $\tilde{X}$.

A space X is defined to be uniformly joinable [2] if for each entourage E of X there is an entourage F of X such that if $(x, y) \in F$ then x and y can be joined by a generalized path α where α_E is the homotopy class of the edge path $e(x, y)$ in $R(X, E)$. Call such a generalized path **E -short**. A space is defined to be coverable [1] if there is a basis of entourages $\mathcal{B}$ for X such that for each $E \in \mathcal{B}$, the projection $GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow (X_E, x_0)$ is surjective.

2. UNIFORM OPENNESS AND THE ERROR IN [5]

It is easy to see that for a chain connected space, coverability implies uniform joinability. In [5], a proof is offered that a chain connected uniformly joinable space is coverable. This section contains an example that serves as a counterexample to the proofs of both Propositions 9 and 10 in [5] and points out an error in the proof of Proposition 9.

Before giving the counterexample, let us see that the hypothesis of Proposition 10 is equivalent to the space being uniformly joinable chain connected. In our language, the hypotheses is that X is a chain connected uniform space such that for every entourage E of X the projection $\pi_E : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow (X_E, x_0)$ has uniformly open image in (X_E, x_0) .

First recall what it means for a subset of a uniform space to be uniformly open.

Definition 2.1. [5] *A subset A of a uniform space X is uniformly open if there is an entourage E of X such that for every $x \in A$, $B(x, E) \subset A$.*

James defines what it means for a map between uniform spaces to be uniformly open.

Definition 2.2. [3] A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between uniform spaces is uniformly open if for each entourage E of X there is an entourage F of Y such that $B(f(x), F) \subset f(B(x, E))$.

There is a nice connection between these two concepts.

Proposition 2.3. A subset A of a uniform space X is uniformly open if and only if the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow X$ is uniformly open.

Proof. Suppose the inclusion map is uniformly open. Then there is an entourage F of X so that $B(x, F) \subset B(x, A \times A) = A$. Now suppose A is uniformly open. Suppose E is an entourage of X . Then $E \cap A \times A$ is an arbitrary entourage of A . Let F be an entourage of X so that $B(x, F) \subset A$ for each $x \in A$. Suppose $(x, y) \in F \cap E$ with $x \in A$. Then $y \in A$ so $(x, y) \in E \cap A \times A$. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. The following are equivalent for a chain connected uniform space X .

1. X is uniformly joinable.
2. For any $x_0 \in X$ the endpoint map $\pi_X : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow X$ is uniformly open.
3. For any $x_0 \in X$ and each entourage E of X , the image $\pi_E(GP(X, x_0))$ is uniformly open in (X_E, x_0) .

Proof. The proposition is proved directly even though some of it could be proven by using results in [2] and [5].

1. $\implies$ 3. Given an entourage E of X choose an entourage F of X so that any $(x, y) \in F$ can be joined by an E -short generalized path. Suppose $\alpha \in GP(X, x_0)$ and $(\alpha_E, [c]_E) \in \widehat{F}$ for some $[c]_E \in (X_E, x_0)$. Now there is an E -short generalized path γ from the endpoint of α to the endpoint of c . Notice $(\alpha\gamma)_E = [c]_E$.

3. $\implies$ 2. Consider a basic entourage E^* of $GP(X, x_0)$. Choose a basic entourage $\widehat{F}$ of (X_E, x_0) such that if $\alpha \in GP(X, x_0)$ and $(\alpha_E, [c]_E) \in \widehat{F}$ for some $[c]_E \in (X_E, x_0)$ then $[c]_E = \beta_E$ for some $\beta \in GP(X, x_0)$. Suppose $\alpha \in GP(X, x_0)$ has endpoint x and $(x, y) \in F$ for some $y \in X$. Let c be the E -chain obtained by concatenating a representative of α_E with y . Then $(\alpha_E, [c]_E) \in \widehat{F}$ so $[c]_E = \beta_E$ for some $\beta \in GP(X, x_0)$. Notice $\alpha^{-1}\beta$ is E -short.

2. $\implies$ 1. Consider an entourage E of X . Choose an entourage F of X so that $B(\pi_X(\alpha), F) \subset \pi_X(B(\alpha, E^*))$ for any $\alpha \in GP(X, x_0)$. Since X is chain connected, to show that X is uniformly joinable it is enough to show that for any F -chain c starting at x_0 there is a generalized path α with $[c]_E = \alpha_E$. We will use induction on lengths of chains. The base case is taken care of by the constant generalized path at x_0 . Suppose an F -chain $c = x_0, \dots, x_n$ has $[c]_E = \alpha_E$ for some generalized path α and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in F$. Then by hypothesis x_{n+1} is the endpoint of some $\beta \in GP(X, x_0)$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in E^*$. But then $\beta_E = [x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}]_E$. $\square$

Now consider the proof of Proposition 10 in [5]. First note that in our notation, the entourage G is $\pi_E^{-1}(\widehat{E})$ which is identical to the basic entourage E^* of $GP(X, x_0)$. Then it is claimed that for any entourage E of X , E^* is universal, which amounts to saying that the endpoint map $GP(X, x_0)_{E^*} \rightarrow GP(X, x_0)$ is a uniform equivalence where the basepoint of $GP(X, x_0)_{E^*}$ is taken to be the constant generalized path at x_0 .

The following is an example of a uniformly joinable chain connected space X and an entourage E of X for which $GP(X, x_0)_{E^*} \rightarrow GP(X, x_0)$ is not injective.

Example 2.5. Consider a regular hexagon whose sides have length 1. Label its vertices in order from a to f . Add the center o of the hexagon and a vertical square with base ao that we remove. Label the vertices of the square as a, g, h, o . Consider the entourage $E = \{(x, y) : d(x, y) \leq 1\}$ of the resulting space X where the metric is inherited from the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$. To show that $GP(X, a)_{E^*} \rightarrow GP(X, a)$ is not injective it suffices to find an essential E^* -loop in $GP(X, a)$ based at the constant path at a . Consider the E^* -loop $a, ab, abc, abcd, afed, afe, af, a$. The reason this loop is essential is because any E^* -homotopy from it to the constant path at a would need to include an $\alpha \in GP(X, a)$ whose endpoint is o . But the only such α is $agho$ and $agho$ is not E^* -close to any $\beta \in GP(X, a)$ with endpoint on the hexagon since the E -term of $agho$ is $[a, g, h, o]_E$ and this is not the same equivalence class as $[a, o]_E$.

Notice the similarity to the counterexamples contained in Section 7 of [2]. Those examples showed that certain strategies for showing a uniformly joinable space X is coverable on the level of entourages of X cannot work. This example shows that a natural strategy for showing that a uniformly joinable space X is coverable on the level of entourages of $GP(X, x_0)$ cannot work.

Next consider Proposition 9 in [5]. The hypothesis is the following. Suppose X is chain connected and E is an entourage in X such that $A = \pi_E(GP(X, x_0))$ is uniformly open in (X_E, x_0) . Let $D = \widehat{E} \cap A \times A$. Notice $\pi_E^{-1}(D) = E^*$ so there is a map $(\pi_E)_\# : GP(X, x_0)_{E^*} \rightarrow A_D$ induced by π_E where the basepoints are taken to be the constant generalized path at x_0 and the equivalence class of the constant chain at x_0 respectively. Then the conclusion (in part) is that there is a map $\psi : GP(X, x_0) \rightarrow A_D$ that makes the following diagram commute, where p_{E^*} is the endpoint map.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GP(X, x_0)_{E^*} & \xrightarrow{p_{E^*}} & GP(X, x_0) \\ \downarrow (\pi_E)_\# & \searrow \psi & \\ A_D & & \end{array}$$

We will describe the construction of ψ and explain the error in the argument that the diagram commutes.

First, an entourage $E_A = p_E(\widehat{E} \cap A \times A)$ of X is defined. Then, given an $\alpha \in GP(X, x_0)$, $\psi(\alpha)$ is defined to be the equivalence class $[[x_0]_E, [x_0, x_1]_E, \dots, [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]_E]_D$, where $[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{E_A} = \alpha_{E_A}$.

Following the proof of Proposition 9, suppose $\eta = y_0, \dots, y_n$ is an E^* -chain in $GP(X, x_0)$ starting at the constant generalized path at x_0 . For $i \leq n$, let x_i be the endpoint of y_i . It is stated that for each i , $x_0, \dots, x_i$ is an E_A -chain. This is true, but then it is claimed that $[[x_0]_E, [x_0, x_1]_E, \dots, [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]_E]_D = \psi([\eta]_{E^*})$, which is incorrect. Just because $x_0, \dots, x_n$ is an E_A -chain does not mean that $[x_0, \dots, x_n]_{E_A} = y_{n_{E_A}}$. The same example 2.5 illustrates this fact.

Consider the same space X from 2.5 and the same entourage E of X . The constant generalized path at a has trivial E -term which is the same equivalence class as $[a, b, c, d, e, f, a]_E$. Now a, b, c, d, e, f, a is an E_A -chain but is not E_A -homotopic to the constant chain at a . The reason is because $(o, x) \notin E_A$ for any x on the

hexagon. Again, the only $\alpha \in GP(X, a)$ whose endpoint is o is $agho$ and $agho$ is not E^* -close to any $\beta \in GP(X, a)$ with endpoint on the hexagon.

Let us follow the proof of Proposition 9 using the same X and E from 2.5. Let $\eta = a, ab, abc, abcd, afed, afe, af, a$, the same E^* -chain used in 2.5. Now $\psi \circ p_{E^*}([\eta]_{E^*})$ is the equivalence class of the constant chain, but $(\pi_E)_\#([\eta]_{E^*}) = [[a]_E, [a, b]_E, [a, b, c]_E, [a, b, c, d]_E, [a, f, e, d]_E, [a, f, e]_E, [a, f]_E, [a]_E]_D$ is not the equivalence class of the constant chain. There is an $\widehat{E}$ -homotopy in (X_E, x_0) from it to the constant chain, but not a D -homotopy in A .

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Berestovskii, C. Plaut. *Uniform universal covers of uniform spaces*, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 1748-1777.
- [2] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, B. LaBuz, A. Mitra. *Rips Complexes and Covers in the Uniform Category*, arXiv:0706.3937v3 [math.MG] 3 Mar 2008, 26 p.
- [3] I.M. James, *Introduction to Uniform Spaces*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 144, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [4] S. Mardesić and J. Segal, *Shape theory*, North-Holland Publ.Co., Amsterdam 1982.
- [5] C. Plaut, *An equivalent condition for a uniform space to be coverable*, Topology and its Applications (2008), doi:10.1016/j.topol.2008.08.008

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, TN 37996
E-mail address: labuz@math.utk.edu